

Message

From: Siegal, Tod [Siegal.Tod@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/14/2020 1:59:34 AM
To: Hicks, Matt [Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov]; Kupchan, Simma [Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: FL Assumption- FR notice for FL's package
Attachments: 404.Florida.FRNotice.docx

Matt: My suggestions are attached. I created a Word document for ease of editing. I made some edits and added a few bubbles. And I moved some text around a bit. Happy to discuss. Also, some of the minor clarifying edits might also apply to the draft letter you mentioned, assuming the FR language was pulled from the letter (and assuming there's still time to edit the letter). Thanks.

Tod Siegal
U.S. EPA, Office of General Counsel
Cross-Cutting Issues Law Office
202-564-5552

From: Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 11:47 PM
To: Siegal, Tod <Siegal.Tod@epa.gov>; Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: FL Assumption- FR notice for FL's package

Simma and Tod,

Here's proposed NHPA language for the Florida Assumption FR Notice:

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP

I developed the second paragraph based on Tod's advice and the third paragraph closely tracks Simma's language on effects. Please let me know what you think and whether the level of detail is appropriate.

Thanks,

Matt

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP

From: Siegal, Tod <Siegal.Tod@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 10:58 AM

To: Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: FL Assumption- FR notice for FL's package

That sounds right to me.

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Anyway, these are just some random thoughts. Would be happy to take a peek at draft language. Thanks.

Tod Siegal
U.S. EPA, Office of General Counsel
Cross-Cutting Issues Law Office
202-564-5552

From: Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 10:27 AM
To: Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>; Siegal, Tod <Siegal.Tod@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: FL Assumption- FR notice for FL's package

Thanks very much for raising this question, Matt, and pointing this out. To me it seems as if we could just say:

-
-
-

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Wouldn't that do it? What do you both think?

Simma Kupchan
EPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
WJC North Building # 7426Q
202-564-3105

From: Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 6:18 PM
To: Siegal, Tod <Siegal.Tod@epa.gov>; Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>
Subject: FL Assumption- FR notice for FL's package

Tod and Simma,

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Thanks,

Matt